

**OFFICIAL GENERAL ELECTION BALLOT
CALHOUN COUNTY, FLORIDA
NOVEMBER 2, 2010**

PRECINCTS 1C,
12C AND 13C
(CITY OF
BLOUNTSTOWN
VOTERS)

- TO VOTE, COMPLETELY FILL IN THE OVAL ● NEXT TO YOUR CHOICE.
- Use only a #2 pencil, the marker provided, or a blue or black pen.
- If you make a mistake, don't hesitate to ask for a new ballot. If you erase or make other marks, your vote may not count.
- To vote for a candidate whose name is not printed on the ballot, fill in the oval, and write in the candidate's name on the blank line provided for a write-in candidate.

CONGRESSIONAL	COMMISSIONER OF AGRICULTURE (Vote for One)	DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL
UNITED STATES SENATOR (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Marco Rubio REP <input type="radio"/> Kendrick B. Meek DEM <input type="radio"/> Alexander Andrew Snitker LBT <input type="radio"/> Bernie DeCastro CPF <input type="radio"/> Sue Askeland NPA <input type="radio"/> Bruce Ray Riggs NPA <input type="radio"/> Bobbie Bean NPA <input type="radio"/> Rick Tyler NPA <input type="radio"/> Charlie Crist NPA <input type="radio"/> Lewis Jerome Armstrong NPA <input type="radio"/> Write-in _____	<input type="radio"/> Adam H. Putnam REP <input type="radio"/> Scott Maddox DEM <input type="radio"/> Ira Chester TEA <input type="radio"/> Thad Hamilton NPA	Shall Judge Paul M. Hawkes of the First District Court of Appeal be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO
	LEGISLATIVE	DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL
	STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 6 (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> John Shaw REP <input type="radio"/> Bill Montford DEM <input type="radio"/> David H. Abrams NPA <input type="radio"/> Write-in _____	Shall Judge Charles J. Kahn, Jr. of the First District Court of Appeal be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO
REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS DISTRICT 2 (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Steve Southerland REP <input type="radio"/> Allen Boyd DEM <input type="radio"/> Paul C. McKain NPA <input type="radio"/> Dianne Berryhill NPA <input type="radio"/> Write-in _____	STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 7 (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Marti Coley REP <input type="radio"/> David B. Pleat DEM	Shall Judge Phil Padovano of the First District Court of Appeal be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO
STATE	NONPARTISAN	DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL
GOVERNOR & LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Rick Scott REP Jennifer Carroll <input type="radio"/> Alex Sink DEM Rod Smith <input type="radio"/> Peter Allen IDP John E Zanni <input type="radio"/> Michael E. Arth NPA Al Krulick <input type="radio"/> Farid Khavari NPA Darcy G. Richardson <input type="radio"/> C. C. Reed NPA Larry Waldo, Sr. <input type="radio"/> Daniel Imperato NPA Karl C.C. Behm <input type="radio"/> Write-in _____	JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT Shall Justice Charles T. Canady of the Supreme Court be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO	Shall Judge Lori S. Rowe of the First District Court of Appeal be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO
	JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT Shall Justice Jorge Labarga of the Supreme Court be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO	DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL
	JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT Shall Justice James E. C. Perry of the Supreme Court be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO	Shall Judge Kent Wetherell of the First District Court of Appeal be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO
	JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT Shall Justice Ricky L. Polston of the Supreme Court be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO	DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL
ATTORNEY GENERAL (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Pam Bondi REP <input type="radio"/> Dan Gelber DEM <input type="radio"/> Jim Lewis NPA	DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL	Shall Judge Jim Wolf of the First District Court of Appeal be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO
CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Jeff Atwater REP <input type="radio"/> Lorraine Ausley DEM <input type="radio"/> Ken Mazzie NPA <input type="radio"/> Tom Stearns NPA	Shall Judge Nikki Ann Clark of the First District Court of Appeal be retained in office? <input type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO	CITY OF BLOUNTSTOWN CITY COUNCIL WARD 1 (Vote for One) <input type="radio"/> Clifford L. Jackson <input type="radio"/> Roy Pickron <input type="radio"/> Beverly Veress

VOTE BOTH SIDES OF BALLOT

PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS

**NO. 1
CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT
ARTICLE VI, SECTION 7**

Repeal of Public Campaign Financing Requirement

Proposing the repeal of the provision in the state constitution that requires public financing of campaigns of candidates for elective statewide office who agree to campaign spending limits.

YES
 NO

**NO. 2
CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT
ARTICLE VII, SECTION 3
ARTICLE XII, SECTION 31**

Homestead Ad Valorem Tax Credit for Deployed Military Personnel

Proposing an amendment to the State Constitution to require the Legislature to provide an additional homestead property tax exemption by law for members of the United States military or military reserves, the United States Coast Guard or its reserves, or the Florida National Guard who receive a homestead exemption and were deployed in the previous year on active duty outside the continental United States, Alaska, or Hawaii in support of military operations designated by the Legislature. The exempt amount will be based upon the number of days in the previous calendar year that the person was deployed on active duty outside the continental United States, Alaska, or Hawaii in support of military operations designated by the Legislature. The amendment is scheduled to take effect January 1, 2011.

YES
 NO

**NO. 4
CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT
ARTICLE II, SECTION 7**

Referenda Required for Adoption and Amendment of Local Government Comprehensive Land Use Plans

Establishes that before a local government may adopt a new comprehensive land use plan, or amend a comprehensive land use plan, the proposed plan or amendment shall be subject to vote of the electors of the local government by referendum, following preparation by the local planning agency, consideration by the governing body and notice. Provides definitions.

The amendment's impact on local government expenditures cannot be estimated precisely. Local governments will incur additional costs due to the requirement to conduct referenda in order to adopt comprehensive plans or amendments thereto. The amount of such costs depends upon the frequency, timing and method of the referenda, and includes the costs of ballot preparation, election administration, and associated expenses. The impact on state government expenditures will be insignificant.

YES
 NO

**NO. 5
CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT
ARTICLE III, SECTION 21**

Standards for Legislature to Follow in Legislative Redistricting

Legislative districts or districting plans may not be drawn to favor or disfavor an incumbent or political party. Districts shall not be drawn to deny racial or language minorities the equal opportunity to participate in the political process and elect representatives of their choice. Districts must be contiguous. Unless otherwise required, districts must be compact, as equal in population as feasible, and where feasible must make use of existing city, county and geographical boundaries.

YES
 NO

**NO. 6
CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT
ARTICLE III, SECTION 20**

Standards for Legislature to Follow in Congressional Redistricting

Congressional districts or districting plans may not be drawn to favor or disfavor an incumbent or political party. Districts shall not be drawn to deny racial or language minorities the equal opportunity to participate in the political process and elect representatives of their choice. Districts must be contiguous. Unless otherwise required, districts must be compact, as equal in population as feasible, and where feasible must make use of existing city, county and geographical boundaries.

The fiscal impact cannot be determined precisely. State government and state courts may incur additional costs if litigation increases beyond the number or complexity of cases which would have occurred in the amendment's absence.

YES
 NO

**NO. 8
CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT
ARTICLE IX, SECTION 1
ARTICLE XII, SECTION 31**

Revision of the Class Size Requirements for Public Schools

The Florida Constitution currently limits the maximum number of students assigned to each teacher in public school classrooms in the following grade groupings: for prekindergarten through grade 3, 18 students; for grades 4 through 8, 22 students; and for grades 9 through 12, 25 students. Under this amendment, the current limits on the maximum number of students assigned to each teacher in public school classrooms would become limits on the average number of students assigned per class to each teacher, by specified grade grouping, in each public school. This amendment also adopts new limits on the maximum number of students assigned to each teacher in an individual classroom as follows: for prekindergarten through grade 3, 21 students; for grades 4 through 8, 27 students; and for grades 9 through 12, 30 students. This amendment specifies that class size limits do not apply to virtual classes, requires the Legislature to provide sufficient funds to maintain the average number of students required by this amendment, and schedules these revisions to take effect upon approval by the electors of this state and to operate retroactively to the beginning of the 2010-2011 school year.

YES
 NO

NONBINDING STATEWIDE ADVISORY REFERENDUM

BALANCING THE FEDERAL BUDGET

A Nonbinding Referendum Calling for an Amendment to the United States Constitution

In order to stop the uncontrolled growth of our national debt and prevent excessive borrowing by the Federal Government, which threatens our economy and national security, should the United States Constitution be amended to require a balanced federal budget without raising taxes?

YES
 NO

CALHOUN COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD REFERENDUM

REFERENDUM REGARDING THE AUTHORITY OF THE SCHOOL BOARD TO CONTINUE TO ANNUALLY LEVY, BY AN ANNUAL SUPER MAJORITY VOTE, 0.25 MILLS FOR CRITICAL OPERATING NEEDS.

Shall the school board have the authority by an annual super majority vote for the 2011-2012 and 2012-2013 fiscal years to continue to annually levy 0.25 mills for Critical Operating Needs pursuant to s. 1011.71(3)(b), Florida Statutes?

YES=FOR giving the school board such continued authority.
 NO=AGAINST giving the school board such continued authority.